To: Grantham, Nancy[Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]

Cc: Ward, W. Robert[Ward.Robert@epa.gov]; Cohen, Nancy[Cohen.Nancy@epa.gov]; Deitz,

Randy[Deitz.Randy@epa.gov]

From: Card, Joan

Sent: Mon 2/29/2016 7:53:34 PM Subject: Re: EPA Gold King Mine

I would refer back to Congressional testimony. I don't believe we called it an accident though I seem to recall that Sec Jewell may have.

Joan Card Senior Policy Advisor Region 8

Sent from my EPA iPhone

On Feb 29, 2016, at 2:31 PM, Grantham, Nancy < Grantham. Nancy@epa.gov > wrote:

Any insight into this?

Thanks ng

From: Hull, George

Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 2:17 PM

To: Grantham, Nancy < Grantham. Nancy@epa.gov>

Cc: Press < <u>Press@epa.gov</u>>
Subject: FW: EPA Gold King Mine

Nancy,

Flagging for your attention. Christy is compressed today. Thanks, George

From: Michael Bastasch [mailto:mike@dailycallernewsfoundation.org]

Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 1:40 PM

To: Press < Press@epa.gov > Subject: EPA Gold King Mine

Ŧ	т:	
-	-11	

I was wondering if EPA considers the Gold King Mine release an "accident" or not? I only note a handful of instances when the EPA refers to the mine blowout as an "accident" rather than an "incident."

Please let me know. Thanks!

Best,

Mike

--

Michael Bastasch

Daily Caller News Foundation

